WO SEP 1 5 2009 CLERKUS DISTRICT OF ARIZON BY IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, Vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitic Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitic Court Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the property of	_
SEP 1 5 2009 CLERKU S DISTRICT OF ARIZON IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petiti- Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petiti- THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his better the property of the pr	ODGED
CLERK U S DISTRICT OF ARIZON IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, Vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitis Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Finds the Petitis Court Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Finds the Petitis Co	OPY
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, Vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitis Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitis Court Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Finds and Petitis Court Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the Petitis Court Finds and Pe	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitis Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitis Court Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his to the court function of the Petitis Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitis Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009.	NA
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petiti- Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition of the Petition	EPUTY
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the insure of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegation in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegation in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegation in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegation in the Petition State of the issue of detention being made based upon the allegation in the	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the present the present that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the present the present that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the present the present that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the present the present that the present that the present the present that the present that the present the present that the present that the present the present that the present the present that the present that the present the present that the	
United States of America, Plaintiff, Vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition The Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to su	
United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitic Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitic State of the sta	
Plaintiff, vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition of the Court Further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further Finds that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court further fu	
vs. Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition Court Function of the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the petition of the pe	
Stoney Tab Bourke, Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition of the court of the petition of the court of the petition of the petitio	
Defendant. A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition Court FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the court of the period of o	
A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitic Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitic THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his between the petitic part of the petitic part o	
A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitic Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitic State of the state of th	
A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petitic Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearin has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petitical Court FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his beginning the court of	
Supervised Release were held on September 10, 2009. THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Peterson Strategy of the Landschaff of the La	
THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Peterson of the court of th	ion on
voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Pe THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his based upon the country of the	
has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Pe THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his b	y, and
THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his b	ing and
THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has failed to sustain his b	etition.
	burden
22 of proof by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6), FED.R.CRIM.I	.P., that
he is not a danger to the community. <i>United States v. Loya</i> , 23 F.3d 1529 (9th Cir. 1	1994).
24 IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant shall be detained pending further order	r of the
25 court.	
DATED this 15 day of September, 2009.	
Tarring O. Gullisa	
Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge	